### Case 2:21-cv-01467 CAVIL DOCUMENT SHF HED 03/29/21 Page 1 of 22

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	DEFENDANTS		
	_				
Anthony Frazier			Garda Worl Security Corporation, et al.,		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Chester County (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Simon & Simon, PC			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Palm Beach County, FL  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)		
1818 Market St., Sui					
Philadelphia, PA 19		TI	I CITIZENCIIID OF DI	DINCIDAL DADTIEC	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	TF DEF  1 Incorporated or Pr  of Business In 2	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	x 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and of Business In A	Another State
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	66
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	<b>Γ</b> (Place an "X" in One Box On	ly)		Click here for: Nature of S	Suit Code Descriptions.
CONTRACT		RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability  × 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS  440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS  Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty Other:  540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other  The Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations At Relations At Relations The mily and Medical Leave Act The Tamily and Medical Leave Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application 465 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS  820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016  SOCIAL SECURITY  861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS  870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from 3 I	Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or S Transfer Anothe (specify filing (Do not cite jurisdictional state)	r District Litigation  Transfer	I I
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	28	) and (2) use:	(20 not the jurisuctional state	ances arressiy).	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD				
03/29/2021		Marc	Simon		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE

### Case 2:21-cv-01467-CNDDEDS DATES OF THE COLORS / 29/21 Page 2 of 22 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### **DESIGNATION FORM**

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: Anthony Fra	azier - 718 W 6th St., Ch	ester, PA 19013			
	d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics, et al., - 2000	NW Corporate Blvd., Boca Raton, FL 33394			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:  I-76 in Philadlephia, PA					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number: Judge: Date Terminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the	he following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No Pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a pate numbered case pending or within one year previously terminates.		Yes No 🗸			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No  No					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is is this court except as noted above.	not related to any case now pending or v				
DATE: 03/29/2021	Mancinon	201798			
A	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			
CIVIL: (Place a $$ in one category only)					
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction (	Cases:			
<ol> <li>Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other C</li> <li>FELA</li> <li>Jones Act-Personal Injury</li> <li>Antitrust</li> <li>Patent</li> <li>Labor-Management Relations</li> <li>Civil Rights</li> <li>Habeas Corpus</li> <li>Securities Act(s) Cases</li> <li>Social Security Review Cases</li> <li>All other Federal Question Cases         <ul> <li>(Please specify):</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	2. Airplane Person 3. Assault, Defama 4. Marine Personal 5. Motor Vehicle F	ation I Injury Personal Injury Injury (Please specify): ty Ty – Asbestos Sity Cases			
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION					
(The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)  I,, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:					
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:					
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.					
DATE: 03/29/2021	Mac Simon Sign here if applicable	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.					

#### Case 2:21-cv-01467-CDJ Document 1 Filed 03/29/21 Page 3 of 22

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Num	ber	E-Mail Address		
215-467-4666	267-639-9	006	MarcSimon@gosimon.co	MarcSimon@gosimon.com	
Date	Attorney-a	t-law	Attorney for		
03/29/2021	Marc Simon		Anthony Frazier		
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do no	t fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(X)	
(e) Special Management – Commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse simanagement cases.)	complex and tha	t need special	or intense management by	( )	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for per	rsonal injury o	r property damage from	( )	
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (			( )		
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (				( )	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.					
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CA	SE MANAGI	EMENT TRACKS:		
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s	se Management Te a copy on all defevent that a defer hall, with its first ties, a Case Man	Frack Designated Fendants. (See and the does not tappearance, sagement Track	eduction Plan of this court, counse tion Form in all civil cases at the tire § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the re- agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and serve a Designation Form specifying the d.	ne of verse said ve on	
arda World Security Corporation, et al.,		: :	NO.		
Anthony Frazier v.		: :			
Anthony English		:	CIVIL ACTION		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Anthony Frazier	:
718 W 6 <sup>th</sup> St.	:
Chester, PA 19013	: #
Plaintiff	:
v.	:
	:
Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a	:
Garda Cash Logistics	:
2000 NW Corporate Blvd.	:
Boca Raton, FL 33394	:
	:
And	:
	:
Garda World Security Corporation	:
2000 NW Corporate Blvd.	:
Boca Raton, FL 33394	:
,	:
And	:
	:
Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC	:
2000 NW Corporate Blvd.	:
Boca Raon, FL 33394	:
Defendants	•

#### **COMPLAINT**

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff, Anthony Frazier, is a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing at the address listed in the caption of this Complaint.
- 2. Defendant, Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics, is a corporate entity authorized to conduct business in the State of Florida, with a business address listed in the caption of this Complaint.
- 3. Defendant, Garda World Security Corporation, is a corporate entity authorized to conduct business in the State of Florida, with a business address listed in the caption of this Complaint.
- 4. Defendant, Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC, is a corporate entity authorized to conduct business in the State of Florida, with a business address listed in the caption of this Complaint.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter of this Civil Action-Complaint in that the Plaintiff, Anthony Frazier, is a citizen of Pennsylvania and the Defendants, Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics, Garda World Security Corporation and Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC, are upon information and belief corporate entities with their principal place of business in FLorida and the amount in controversy in this case, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$75,000.
- 6. Venue is proper in this jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) (1) and (2) in that this is a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted in this Complaint occurred in this judicial district.

#### **FACTS**

- 1. On or about February 7, 2020, at or about 2:29 p.m., Plaintiff, Anthony Frazier, was the operator of a motor vehicle, which was exiting I-76, in Philadelphia, PA.
- 7. At or about the same date and time, a drive for Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics, Garda World Security Corporation and Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC, was the operator of a motor vehicle, owned by Defendants, Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics, Garda World Security Corporation and Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC, which was traveling at or around the aforementioned location of the Plaintiff's vehicle.
  - 2. At or about the same date and time, Defendants' vehicle was involved in a collision with Plaintiff's vehicle.
  - 3. At all times relevant hereto, a driver from Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics, Garda World Security Corporation and Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC was operating the aforesaid Defendants, Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics, Garda World Security Corporation and Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC's vehicle as an agent, servant and/or employee, acting within the scope of their agency.
  - 4. The aforesaid motor vehicle collision was the result of Defendant, negligently and/or carelessly, operating his/her vehicle in such a manner so as to strike Plaintiff's vehicle.
  - 5. The aforesaid motor vehicle collision was a direct result of the negligence and/or carelessness of the Defendants and not the result of any action or failure to act by the Plaintiff.
  - 6. As a result of the collision, Plaintiff suffered severe and permanent injuries, including to the knee, head and back as are more fully set forth below.

#### **COUNT I**

#### Anthony Frazier v. Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics Negligent Entrustment

- 7. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 8. The negligence and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
  - a. Permitting a driver for Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash
     Logistics, to operate the motor vehicle without first ascertaining whether or
     not he was capable of properly operating said vehicle;
  - b. Permitting a driver for Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics, to operate the motor vehicle when Defendant, Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics., knew, or in the exercise of due care and diligence, should have known that a driver for Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics, was capable of committing the acts of negligence set forth above;
  - c. Failing to warn those persons, including the Plaintiff, that Defendant, Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics, knew, or in the existence of due care and diligence should have known, that the Plaintiff would be exposed to a driver for Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics's negligent operation of the motor vehicle; and
  - d. Otherwise negligently entrusting said vehicle to said driver from Garda CL
     Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics.

- 9. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent and/or carless conduct of the defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the knee, head and back, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 10. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 11. As an additional result of the carelessness and/or negligence of Defendant,
  Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- 12. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 13. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Anthony Frazier, prays for judgment in plaintiff's favor and against Defendant, Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

#### **COUNT II**

#### Anthony Frazier v. Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics Respondent Superior

- 14. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 15. The negligence and/or carelessness of the Defendant, Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics, itself and by and through its agent, servant and/or employee, a driver for Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics, acting at all times relevant hereto within the scope of it's agency, which was the direct and proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the plaintiffs, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
  - a. Striking Plaintiff's vehicle, forcing the Plaintiff's vehicle into a concrete barrier;
  - b. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;
  - c. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
  - d. Operating said vehicle in a negligent and/or careless manner so as to strike
     Plaintiff's vehicle, without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or
     others;
  - e. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
  - f. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
  - g. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;
  - h. Failure to keep a proper lookout;

- Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without striking the Plaintiff's vehicle;
- j. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
- k. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
- Driving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;
- m. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;
- r. Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;
- Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;
- p. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;
- q. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- r. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- s. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- t. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.

- 16. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the knee, head and back, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 17. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 18. As an additional result of the carelessness and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- 19. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 20. As a direct result of the negligent and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including his/her motor vehicle, which Plaintiff was operating at the time of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision; including but not limited to, storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 21. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Anthony Frazier, prays for judgment in Plaintiffs' favor and against Defendant, Garda CL Technical Service, Inc. d/b/a Garda Cash Logistics, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

#### **COUNT III**

#### Anthony Frazier v. Garda World Security Corporation Negligent Entrustment

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 23. The negligence and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
  - a. Permitting a driver for Garda World Security Corporation, to operate the motor vehicle without first ascertaining whether or not he was capable of properly operating said vehicle;
  - b. Permitting a driver for Garda World Security Corporation, to operate the motor vehicle when Defendant, Garda World Security Corporation., knew, or in the exercise of due care and diligence, should have known that a driver for Garda World Security Corporation, was capable of committing the acts of negligence set forth above;
  - c. Failing to warn those persons, including the Plaintiff, that Defendant, Garda World Security Corporation, knew, or in the existence of due care and diligence should have known, that the Plaintiff would be exposed to a driver

- for Garda World Security Corporation's negligent operation of the motor vehicle; and
- d. Otherwise negligently entrusting said vehicle to said driver from Garda
   World Security Corporation.
- 24. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent and/or carless conduct of the defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the knee, head and back, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 25. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 26. As an additional result of the carelessness and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 28. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Anthony Frazier, prays for judgment in plaintiff's favor and against Defendant, Garda World Security Corporation, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

# COUNT IV Anthony Frazier v. Garda World Security Corporation Respondent Superior

- 29. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 30. The negligence and/or carelessness of the Defendant, Garda World Security Corporation, itself and by and through its agent, servant and/or employee, a driver for Garda World Security Corporation, acting at all times relevant hereto within the scope of it's agency, which was the direct and proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the plaintiffs, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
  - a. Striking Plaintiff's vehicle, forcing the Plaintiff's vehicle into a concrete barrier;
  - b. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;
  - c. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
  - d. Operating said vehicle in a negligent and/or careless manner so as to strike
     Plaintiff's vehicle, without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or others;
  - e. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
  - f. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
  - g. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;

- h. Failure to keep a proper lookout;
- Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without striking the Plaintiff's vehicle;
- j. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
- k. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
- 1. Driving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;
- m. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;
- r. Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;
- o. Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;
- p. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;
- q. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- r. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- s. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- t. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.

- 31. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the knee, head and back, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 32. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 33. As an additional result of the carelessness and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- 34. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 35. As a direct result of the negligent and/or carless conduct of the Defendant, plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including his/her motor vehicle, which Plaintiff was operating at the time of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision; including but not limited to, storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 36. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Anthony Frazier, prays for judgment in Plaintiffs' favor and against Defendant, Garda World Security Corporation, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

## COUNT V Anthony Frazier v. Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC Negligent Entrustment

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 38. The negligence and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
  - a. Permitting a driver for Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC, to operate the motor
    vehicle without first ascertaining whether or not he was capable of properly
    operating said vehicle;
  - b. Permitting a driver for Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC, to operate the motor vehicle when Defendant, Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC., knew, or in the exercise of due care and diligence, should have known that a driver for Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC, was capable of committing the acts of negligence set forth above;
  - c. Failing to warn those persons, including the Plaintiff, that Defendant, Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC, knew, or in the existence of due care and diligence should have known, that the Plaintiff would be exposed to a driver for Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC's negligent operation of the motor vehicle; and

- d. Otherwise negligently entrusting said vehicle to said driver from Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC.
- 39. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent and/or careless conduct of the defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the knee, head and back, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 40. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 41. As an additional result of the carelessness and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- 42. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 43. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Anthony Frazier, prays for judgment in plaintiff's favor and against Defendant, Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

## COUNT VI Anthony Frazier v. Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC Respondeat Superior

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 45. The negligence and/or carelessness of the Defendant, Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC, itself and by and through its agent, servant and/or employee, a driver for Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC, acting at all times relevant hereto within the scope of it's agency, which was the direct and proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the plaintiffs, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
  - a. Striking Plaintiff's vehicle, forcing the Plaintiff's vehicle into a concrete barrier;
  - b. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;
  - c. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
  - d. Operating said vehicle in a negligent and/or careless manner so as to strike
     Plaintiff's vehicle, without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or others;
  - e. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
  - f. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
  - g. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;

- h. Failure to keep a proper lookout;
- Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without striking the Plaintiff's vehicle;
- j. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
- k. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
- 1. Driving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;
- m. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;
- n. Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;
- Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;
- p. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;
- q. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- r. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- s. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- t. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.

- 46. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including to the knee, head and back, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 47. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 48. As an additional result of the carelessness and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- 49. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 50. As a direct result of the negligent and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including his/her motor vehicle, which Plaintiff was operating at the time of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision; including but not limited to, storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 51. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Anthony Frazier, prays for judgment in Plaintiffs' favor and against Defendant, Gardaworld Cashlink, LLC, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

SIMON & SIMON, P.C.

BY: Marc Simon

Marc Simon, Esquire